Readmission Rates for One Versus Two-Midnight Length of Stay for Primary Total Knee Arthroplasty

Analysis of the Michigan Arthroplasty Registry Collaborative Quality Initiative (MARCQI) Database

P.M. Charpentier, MD, A.K. Srivastava, MD, H. Zheng, PhD, J.D. Ostrander, MD, and R.E. Hughes, PhD

Investigation performed at McLaren Flint Hospital, Flint, Michigan

Background: The length of stay (LOS) in the hospital for total knee arthroplasty (TKA) has been declining over recent decades. The purpose of this study was to determine if patients with an LOS for TKA that includes only 1 midnight have an increased odds of 90-day readmission compared with those with a 2-midnight LOS. We also sought to identify any predictors of 90-day hospital readmission among those readmitted during our period of analysis.

Methods: A retrospective review of the Michigan Arthroplasty Registry Collaborative Quality Initiative (MARCQI) database was performed to identify patients with a 1-midnight or 2-midnight LOS for TKA during a 5-year period. The primary end point of this study was inpatient readmission within the 90-day postoperative period. A multiple logistic regression model and propensity score matching were used to compare the odds of 90-day readmission between 1-midnight and 2-midnight LOS. The secondary end points of this study were 90-day complications.

Results: There were 96,250 TKA procedures identified in the database, and 46,709 met our inclusion criteria for LOS. No difference in 90-day-readmission odds between patients with a 1-midnight LOS and those with a 2-midnight LOS for primary TKA was identified. Male sex, single marital status, age of \geq 80 years, type-I diabetes, previous smoking, narcotic use prior to surgery, and a higher American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) scores increased the odds of 90-day readmission. Patients in the age group of \geq 50 to <65 years, those with a higher preoperative hemoglobin level, and those with a positive social history of alcohol use were found to have decreased odds of readmission.

Conclusions: We found no association between the LOS for primary TKA (1 midnight compared with 2 midnights) and the 90-day readmission risk.

Level of Evidence: Therapeutic Level III. See Instructions for Authors for a complete description of levels of evidence.

here has been a steady decline in the length of stay (LOS) in the hospital following total knee arthroplasty (TKA). The average LOS for TKA in the United States was 8.4 days in the 1990s, which was reported to have decreased to 4.2 days by 2004¹. In 2015, El Bitar et al.² reported that >75% of patients treated with a primary TKA were discharged in \leq 3 days. Recently, as a result of standardized rehabilitation and multimodal pain protocols, TKA is even being performed in the outpatient setting³⁻⁸.

Multiple studies have addressed the risk factors for prolonged LOS⁹⁻¹¹. Bini et al. demonstrated that a 2-day LOS for

primary TKA was not inferior to a 3-day LOS with regard to the 30-day readmission rate¹², and a single, underpowered study, consisting of only 254 TKAs, revealed no difference between 1 and 2-midnight LOS with regard to 90-day readmission¹³. However, to our knowledge, the current literature lacks studies with an adequate sample size comparing the risk of readmission between 1 and 2-midnight LOS for TKA. With the introduction of bundled payments, it is imperative that we compare these readmission rates over a 90-day global period. Identifying patients at risk for readmission provides the opportunity to limit unnecessary inflation of episode-of-care costs.

Disclosure: No direct funding was provided for this study. However, as part of their Value Partnerships program, Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan funds the Michigan Arthroplasty Registry Collaborative Quality Initiative (MARCQI), which was responsible for the data collection. Although Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan (BCBS) and MARCQI work collaboratively, the opinions, beliefs and viewpoints expressed by the authors do not necessarily reflect the opinions, beliefs, and viewpoints of BCBSM or any of its employees. The **Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest** forms are provided with the online version of the article (http://links.lww.com/JBJS/E912).

THE JOURNAL OF BONE & JOINT SURGERY JBJS.ORG VOLUME 100-A · NUMBER 20 · OCTOBER 17, 2018 READMISSION RATES FOR ONE VERSUS TWO-MIDNIGHT LENGTH OF Stay for Primary Total Knee Arthroplasty

TABLE I Descriptive Statistics for Patients with 1-Midni	ght and 2-Midnight LOS
--	------------------------

Variable								P Value		
	Overall (N = 46,709)		1-Midnight LOS (N = 15,009 [32.1%])		2-Midnight LOS (N = 31,700 [67.9%])		Relative	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon Rank-Sum Test After Propensity Score Matching (1:1)	
	No.	Mean (SD)	No.	Mean (SD)	No.	Mean (SD)	Differences (%)	Rank-Sum Test	(N = 26,306)	
Age* (yr)	46,647	65.0 (12)	15,001	65.0 (12)	31,646	65.0 (12)	0	<0.0001	0.2706	
BMI (kg/m²)	45,936	32.0 (8.7)	14,861	31.7 (8.2)	31,075	32.2 (8.9)	1.58	<0.0001	0.262	
Preoperative albumin level† (g/dL)	24,105	4.1 (0.4)	7,746	4.2 (0.5)	16,359	4.1 (0.4)	2.38	<0.0001	0.0776	
Preoperative creatinine level† (mg/dL)	42,405	0.9 (0.3)	13,908	0.9 (0.2)	28,497	0.9 (0.3)	0	<0.0001	<0.0001	
HbA1c† (%)	11,309	5.9 (1.0)	3,628	5.8 (0.9)	7,681	5.9 (1.0)	1.72	0.002	0.1588	
Preoperative Hb level (g/dL)	45,847	13.8 (1.8)	14,729	13.9 (1.7)	31,118	13.7 (1.7)	1.44	<0.0001	0.592	
Postoperative Hb level† (g/dL)	45,946	11.1 (1.9)	14,651	11.7 (1.7)	31,295	10.9 (1.8)	6.84	<0.0001	<0.0001	
Operation time, incision to closure† (min)	46,700	81.0 (31.0)	15,008	80.0 (28.0)	31,692	81.0 (33.0)	1.25	<0.0001	0.8689	

*Age was de-identified. The mean (SD) was calculated after exclusion of patients with ages of ≥90 years. †Not used to create propensity scores.

We performed a retrospective study to determine if patients whose LOS for TKA encompassed 1 midnight had an increased odds of 90-day readmission compared with those whose LOS included 2 midnights. We hypothesized that there would be no difference in the 90-day readmission risk between a 1 and 2-midnight LOS after primary TKA. We also sought to identify any predictors of 90-day hospital readmission among those readmitted during the period of our analysis.

Materials and Methods

I nstitutional review board approval was granted prior to study initiation. The Michigan Arthroplasty Registry Collaborative Quality Initiative (MARCQI), founded in 2011 as a Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan Value Partnership program, was responsible for the data collection. The MARCQI registry captures >95% of the joint arthroplasties performed in Michigan and includes 61 hospitals. Data are entered into the database by trained clinical data abstractors as well as with filebased uploads. More information on MARCQI was provided by Hughes et al.^{14,15}, who also presented details on the MARCQI quality assurance processes¹⁴.

Patients who underwent primary TKA with an LOS that encompassed 1 or 2 midnights in the period from February 1, 2012 (the beginning of data collection for the MARCQI database) to May 8, 2017 (the last surgical date considered to allow for a complete data set to be authenticated) were included in the study. De-identified data were obtained. We excluded unicondylar, bicompartmental, and revision arthroplasties.

The primary end point of this study was inpatient readmission within the 90-day postoperative period. The secondary end points were 90-day postoperative complications. We also analyzed patient age, sex, marital status, body mass index (BMI), American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) score, medical comorbidities, substance use, and discharge disposition with regard to their effect on 90-day readmission risk.

The Wilcoxon rank-sum test ($\alpha = 0.05$) was used for comparison of means and standard deviations (SDs) of demographic and clinical characteristics. The chi-square test was utilized to compare secondary end points. The sample size for this study was based on the study group of 9,024 patients reported by Bini et al¹²; those patients had a readmission rate of 3.4% compared with a reference group of 11,804 patients who had a readmission rate of 3.2%. This sample size could identify a 20% difference in readmission rate. Thus, our target sample size was 9,000 for the group with a 1-midnight LOS.

Three logistic regression models were developed to assess the effect of LOS on 90-day readmission. Model 1 was a crude unadjusted model without other covariates taken into account. Model 2 was an adjusted multiple logistic regression model for the likelihood of readmission for a 1-midnight LOS compared with a 2-midnight LOS. The ratios of readmission between the 2 groups were calculated, and a Wald chi-square test was performed to analyze the contribution of each variable to the logistic regression model. For model 3, a 2-step strategy using multiple logistic regression modeling with propensity score matching was developed. In step 1, the propensity score model was fitted for LOS to determine the probability of patients being in either the 1-midnight or the 2-midnight-LOS group¹⁶⁻¹⁸. The following explanatory variables were used to derive this probability: age, BMI, preoperative hemoglobin (Hb) level, sex, ASA score, smoking status, insurance type, marital status,

THE JOURNAL OF BONE & JOINT SURGERY · JBJS.ORG VOLUME 100-A · NUMBER 20 · OCTOBER 17, 2018

READMISSION RATES FOR ONE VERSUS TWO-MIDNIGHT LENGTH OF Stay for Primary Total Knee Arthroplasty

	Overall (N = 46,709)		1-Midnight LOS (N = 15,009 [32.1%])		2-Midnight LOS (N = 31,700 [67.9%])		P Value: Chi-Square Test Afte Propensity Matching (1:1)	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	(N = 26,306*)	
90-day event								
Yes	7,363	15.76	2,202	14.67	5,161	16.28		
No	39,258	84.05	12,780	85.15	26,478	83.53	0.0737	
Missing	88	0.19	27	0.18	61	0.19		
Deep infection								
Yes	131	0.28	43	0.29	88	0.28		
No	46,490	99.53	14,939	99.53	31,551	99.53	0.5334	
Missing	88	0.19	27	0.18	61	0.19		
DVT								
Yes	268	0.57	82	0.55	186	0.59		
No	46,353	99.24	14,900	99.27	31,453	99.22	0.5033	
Missing	88	0.19	27	0.18	61	0.19		
Dislocation								
Yes	12	0.03	3	0.02	9	0.03		
No	46,609	99.79	14,979	99.80	31,630	99.78	0.1225	
Missing	88	0.19	27	0.18	61	0.19		
ED visit								
Yes	4,479	9.59	1,393	9.28	3,086	9.74		
No	42,142	90.22	13,589	90.54	28,553	90.07	0.4993	
Missing	88	0.19	27	0.18	61	0.19		
Fracture								
Yes	71	0.15	19	0.13	52	0.16		
No	46,550	99.66	14,963	99.69	31,587	99.64	0.0909	
Missing	88	0.19	27	0.18	61	0.19		
Implant failure								
Yes	4	0.01	1	0.01	3	0.01		
No	46,617	99.80	14,981	99.81	31,636	99.80	0.3333	
Missing	88	0.19	27	0.18	61	0.19		
Hematoma								
Yes	412	0.88	130	0.87	282	0.89		
No	46,209	98.93	14,852	98.95	31,357	98.92	0.4663	
Missing	88	0.19	27	0.18	61	0.19		
PE								
Yes	104	0.22	20	0.13	84	0.26		
No	46,517	99.59	14,962	99.69	31,555	99.54	0.2658	
Missing	88	0.19	27	0.18	61	0.19		
Urinary tract infection		-		-		-		
Yes	92	0.20	14	0.09	78	0.25		
No	46,529	99.61	14,968	99.73	31,561	99.56	0.0662	
Missing	88	0.19	14,000 27	0.18	61	0.19	0.0002	
Readmission within 90 days	50	0.10	21	0.20	<u></u>	0.10		
Yes	1,777	3.80	503	3.35	1,274	4.02		
No	44,844	96.01	14,479	96.47	30,365	4.02 95.79	0.2137	
Missing	44,044 88	0.19	27	0.18	50,505 61	0.19	0.2107	

*The n value for the propensity matching analysis is smaller than the overall n value for the study.

1760

The Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery - JBJS.org Volume 100-A - Number 20 - October 17, 2018 READMISSION RATES FOR ONE VERSUS TWO-MIDNIGHT LENGTH OF STAY FOR PRIMARY TOTAL KNEE ARTHROPLASTY

Variable*	OR	95% CI Lower Bound	95% CI Upper Bound	P Value	
LOS: 1 midnight vs. 2 midnights	0.8720	0.7483	1.0162	0.0794	
Age					
- 50 ≤ age < 65 vs. <50 yr	0.6300	0.4719	0.8412	0.0017	
65 ≤ age < 80 vs. <50 yr	0.8147	0.6046	1.0978	0.1780	
$50 \le age < 65 \text{ vs. } 65 \le age < 80 \text{ yr}$	0.7733	0.6256	0.9560	0.0175	
≥80 vs. <50 yr	1.5130	1.0575	2.1648	0.0235	
≥80 vs. 50 ≤ age < 65 yr	2.4016	1.7905	3.2211	<0.0001	
\geq 80 vs. 65 \leq age < 80 yr	1.8572	1.4734	2.3411	< 0.0001	
≥80 vs. all other ages 50 ≤ age < 65 vs. all other ages	1.8898 0.5876	1.4639 0.4793	2.4395 0.7203	<0.0001 <0.0001	
Sex: male vs. female	1.6671	1.4218	1.9547	<0.0001	
Marital status: single vs. married	1.3755	1.1782	1.6058	0.0001	
BMI: continuous	0.9905	0.9784	1.0027	0.1274	
ASA class: III/IV vs. I/II	1.8925	1.6264	2.2022	<0.0001	
Diabetes mellitus					
Any diabetes vs. none	1.3226	0.9776	1.7894	0.0698	
Type I vs. none	2.7452	1.5862	4.7510	0.0003	
Type II vs. none	1.0468	0.8804	1.2446		
Preoperative narcotic use: yes vs. no	1.2428	1.0619	1.4545	0.0068	
Preoperative use of assistive device: yes vs. no	1.5388	1.3259	1.7858	<0.0001	
History of DVT/PE: yes vs. no	1.3740	1.0693	1.7655	0.0130	
Alcohol use in social history: yes vs. no	0.8253	0.7169	0.9500	0.0075	
Smoking					
Never vs. current	0.8963	0.6964	1.1536	0.3953	
Previous vs. current	1.2667	0.9908	1.6193	0.0593	
Previous vs. never	1.4131	1.2192	1.6379	<0.0001	
Preoperative Hb level: continuous	0.9046	0.8562	0.9556	0.0003	
Operative time: continuous	1.0024	0.9995	1.0053	0.1017	
Surgeon caseload: ≥100/yr vs. <100/yr	1.0803	0.8837	1.3207	0.4510	
Hospital caseload: ≥200/yr vs. <200/yr	2.1929	0.8172	5.8849	0.1190	
Laterality: right vs. left	0.9856	0.8611	1.1282	0.8335	
Discharge disposition: ECF vs. non-ECF	1.7898	0.9559	3.3511	0.0689	
Preoperative anticoagulation use: yes vs. no	1.2403	0.9703	1.5854	0.0856	
History of bleeding disorder: yes vs. no	1.0740	0.6575	1.7541	0.7756	
TXA use: yes vs. no	0.9258	0.7726	1.1093	0.4031	
Anesthesia type: general vs. any spinal	0.9723	0.8305	1.1382	0.7265	
Blood transfusion: yes vs. no	4.5398	0.8834	23.329	0.0701	
Primary payer					
Medicaid vs. commercial	1.0928	0.7166	1.6664	0.6802	
Medicare vs. commercial	0.9945	0.8039	1.2303	0.9595	
Other vs. commercial	1.0121	0.6867	1.4917	0.9515	

preoperative narcotic use, preoperative anticoagulation, bleeding disorder, history of deep venous thrombosis or pulmonary embolism (DVT/PE), use of assistive devices, use of tranexamic acid, general anesthesia, operative time, surgeon volume load, hospital volume load, alcohol use, laterality, discharge disposition, diabetes, blood transfusion, approach, bilaterality, and The Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery · JBJS.org Volume 100-A · Number 20 · October 17, 2018 READMISSION RATES FOR ONE VERSUS TWO-MIDNIGHT LENGTH OF STAY FOR PRIMARY TOTAL KNEE ARTHROPLASTY

any intraoperative complications. We used 1:1 caliper matching to derive the matched cases, with the caliper width being 0.2 times the standard deviation of the logit of the propensity score to minimize the mean squared error of the estimated treatment effect^{19,20}. In step 2, the hierarchical logistic regression model for the primary 90-day readmission outcome was fitted using the matched cases²¹. Quality of balancing was checked using a stratification test^{22,23}.

Odds ratios (ORs), Wald-based 95% confidence intervals (CIs), and p values were calculated. SAS version 9.4 and SAS macro language (SAS Institute) were used for the statistical analyses. Missing data points were analyzed, and the data were found to be of high quality; missing data were not imputed.

Results

total of 96,250 TKA procedures were identified; 46,709 A met our inclusion criteria. Of these, 15,009 patients had a 1-midnight LOS and 31,700 had a 2-midnight LOS. In the overall group, 58% of the patients were female, the average age was 65 years (SD = 12 years), and the mean BMI was 32 kg/m^2 $(SD = 8.7 \text{ kg/m}^2)$. The prevalence of diabetes mellitus was 19.2%, with 96.6% of the diabetes cases for which the type was known being type II. Spinal anesthesia was used in 67% of patients. The average operative time was 81 minutes (SD = 31minutes). Overall, 97.1% of the patients were discharged to their homes. After the performance of propensity score matching, there was no significant difference between the 1-midnight and 2-midnight groups with regard to age, BMI, preoperative albumin level, HbA1c, preoperative Hb level, or operative time, indicating that the 2 groups were clinically similar according to the matched variables (Table I). The postoperative Hb level was found to be significantly lower in the 2-midnight group (Table I). The Appendix details the full descriptive statistics of the 2 groups and provides results of the simple bivariate analysis and adjusted multiple logistic regression model.

Overall, 9.59% of the patients visited the emergency department (ED) in the 90-day postoperative period, and the hospital readmission rate was 3.80%. In the 1-midnight group, 1,393 patients (9.28%) visited the ED and 503 (3.35%) were readmitted. In the 2-midnight group, 3,086 patients (9.74%) visited the ED and 1,274 (4.02%) were readmitted. After propensity score matching, no difference was found between the 2 groups with regard to visiting the ED or readmission (Table II). The principal diagnoses leading to readmission were deep infection, DVT, dislocation, fracture, implant failure, hematoma, PE, and urinary tract infection. No differences in the rates of these complications were noted between the groups (Table II). Table III presents the adjusted ORs for readmission based a multiple logistic regression model with propensity score matching. The 1-midnight group had a non-significantly decreased OR (0.8720) for 90-day readmission with a 95% Wald interval of 0.7483 to 1.0162 when compared with the 2midnight group (p = 0.0794).

With regard to the patient variables analyzed for 90-day readmission risk, male compared with female sex (OR = 1.6671; CI = 1.4218, 1.9547), single compared with married status

(OR = 1.3755; CI = 1.1782, 1.6058), type-I diabetes compared with no diabetes (OR = 2.7452; CI = 1.5862, 4.7510), or an ASA class of III or IV compared with class I or II (OR = 1.8925; CI = 1.6264, 2.2022) all increased the odds of readmission (Table III). Previous smoking compared with never smoking (OR = 1.4131; CI = 1.2192, 1.6379), a history of DVT/PE (OR = 1.3740; CI = 1.0693, 1.7655), preoperative narcotic use (OR = 1.2428; CI = 1.0619, 1.4545), or preoperative use of an assistive device (OR = 1.5388; CI = 1.3259, 1.7858) all increased the odds of 90-day readmission as well. Patients ≥80 years old had increased odds of readmission when compared with all other age groups (OR = 1.8898; CI = 1.4639, 2.4395), whereas patients who were \geq 50 and <65 years old had significantly decreased odds of readmission when compared with all other age groups (OR = 0.5876; CI = 0.4793, 0.7203). Individuals with a higher preoperative Hb level (OR = 0.9046; CI = 0.8562, (0.9556) or a positive social history for alcohol (OR = 0.8253; CI = 0.7169, 0.9500) had decreased odds of 90-day readmission (Table III). BMI, operative time, surgeon caseload, hospital caseload, current smoking, laterality of surgery, type of primary payer, type-II diabetes, preoperative anticoagulation use, history of a bleeding disorder, use of tranexamic acid, anesthesia type, blood transfusion, and discharge to an extended care facility had no effect on the risk of readmission.

Discussion

We performed a retrospective analysis of the MARCQI database. The primary objective was to compare 1-midnight and 2-midnight LOS for TKA with regard to the odds of 90-day readmission. Secondarily, we analyzed patient characteristics, medical comorbidities, surgical and nonsurgical complications, substance use, and discharge disposition with regard to 90-day readmission.

Using multiple logistic regression modeling and propensity score matching, we found no difference in the adjusted OR for 90-day readmission between 1-midnight and 2-midnight LOS (p = 0.0794). While the utilization of propensity score matching is not new to the literature²⁴⁻²⁹, most investigators evaluating readmission and LOS for joint arthroplasty have utilized multiple logistic regression modeling without it^{12,30}. Propensity score matching helps balance the test groups by using covariates that may influence LOS. We also used hierarchical data modeling, which takes into account multilevel data structure (patients, surgeons, hospitals) and thus has unique advantages over traditional techniques^{31,32}. Like us, Bini et al.¹², Sutton et al.³⁰, and Saucedo et al.³³ reported no increased risk of readmission in association with decreasing LOS. Bini et al. and Sutton et al. evaluated 30-day readmission rates whereas Saucedo et al. studied 90-day readmission rates. A 90-day postoperative period is better for capturing surgery-related readmissions, as supported by recent literature³⁴. Sibia et al.¹³ found results similar to ours, reporting no difference in 90day readmission rates between 1 and 2-midnight LOS for TKA. However, their study was underpowered, with only 86 patients in their 1-midnight group and 168 in their 2-midnight group.

THE JOURNAL OF BONE & JOINT SURGERY JBJS.ORG VOLUME 100-A · NUMBER 20 · OCTOBER 17, 2018 READMISSION RATES FOR ONE VERSUS TWO-MIDNIGHT LENGTH OF STAY FOR PRIMARY TOTAL KNEE ARTHROPLASTY

Our study is the first with an adequate sample size to compare 1-midnight and 2-midnight LOS for primary TKA.

Multiple patient characteristics were found to be independent variables increasing the risk of 90-day readmission. As in other studies, male sex^{12,35-37}, an age of \geq 80 years^{12,24,26,33,35,38,39}, and ASA class III or IV12,36 all independently increased the OR for readmission. Novel findings from our analysis include the increased odds associated with single marital status and a history of DVT/PE. While postoperative DVT/PE has been shown to increase mortality and 90-day readmissions, a preoperative history of DVT/PE has not been well studied with regard to readmission after TKA⁴⁰. A single study, which included both hip and knee arthroplasty, demonstrated no difference in readmission risk in those with a history of PE³³. We believe that we are the first to demonstrate a link between a preoperative history of DVT/PE and increased 90-day readmission odds. Unmarried Medicare beneficiaries have been shown to have increased readmission rates for general medical conditions^{41,42}. To our knowledge, we are the first to report an association between single marital status and 90-day readmission after primary TKA. Further study is warranted to determine if having a support person at home, be it a spouse or "co-pilot," decreases the odds of readmission after TKA. In our study, as previously reported in the literature^{43,44}, preoperative narcotic use was found to increase the readmission risk. We found current smokers and those who had never smoked to have equivalent ORs for 90-day readmission. Contrary to our findings, Sahota et al.²⁵ found smokers to have an increased readmission risk compared with nonsmokers. However, their study was found to be underpowered. Other studies have also failed to show a causal relationship between smoking and readmission following TKA^{38,45}. Jørgensen et al.⁴⁵ found an increase in the 30-day readmission rate among smokers but did not observe the same effect with a 90-day time frame.

Jørgensen et al.45 showed no difference in the risk of readmission with alcohol intake, whereas we found alcohol to have a protective effect, a finding unique to this study. However, we could not discern the amount or type of alcohol that these patients consumed from the database. Thus, additional research is warranted to investigate this relationship. Similar to previous research, our study did not find a correlation between diabetes mellitus and the risk of readmission³³. However, when diabetics were subdivided by type, type-I diabetics were found to be at an increased risk for readmission, as previously reported by Lovecchio et al.⁴⁶. Bini et al.¹² found diabetes mellitus to be an independent risk factor for readmission, which conflicts with our results. However, Bini et al. did not differentiate between type-I and type-II diabetes mellitus, which may have led to an inaccurate conclusion. Multiple studies have evaluated BMI and its relationship to readmission, with many demonstrating an increased risk of readmission in the morbidly obese^{33,36,47,48}. In our analysis, we assessed BMI as continuous data collapsed into 6 subgroups (<20, ≥20 and <25, ≥25 and <30, \geq 30 and <35, \geq 35 and <40, and \geq 40 kg/m²), and all of the pairwise multiple comparisons were found to be nonsignificant at $\alpha = 0.05$. Other reports are in agreement with our BMI

finding^{12,49,50}. Multiple studies have linked discharge to an extended care facility with increased readmission rates^{12,51-53}. However, we did not find a significant association with discharge status in our study, although there was a trend toward significance. This may be due to selection bias, as patients discharged to an extended care facility commonly stay in the hospital for 3 midnights and were excluded from our study.

As with all retrospective reviews, this study has limitations. The accuracy of registry data is limited to the accuracy with which it is recorded. Although MARCQI has safeguards to ensure accuracy and completeness, there is still potential for inaccuracy. As is the case with all registry studies, there is the possibility of uncontrolled confounders (such as surgical technique or management protocols). Although we analyzed the data with regard to individual surgeon, surgeon volume, and hospital volume, these other aspects of care were outside the scope of our analysis. Also, the cohort made up just 49% of all TKAs performed during the study period. This indicates that more than half of patients undergoing TKA stay in the hospital for >2 midnights, and analysis of such patients was not part of this study. However, for completeness, we have included the characteristics of these patients in the Appendix for comparison with the study groups. Caution must be taken when generalizing our findings to all patients undergoing TKA. Selection bias is also possible as the patients with a 1-midnight LOS could have been "preselected" for success. Additionally, observational studies have substantial limitations with respect to causal inference. While propensity score matching mitigates confounding variables, it is still important to note that this study showed association rather than causation. Although a randomized prospective study would be ideal, it would have been extremely difficult to enroll the numbers of participants captured in this study. Lastly, these data were collected from 1 geographical region and may not represent the entire U.S.

We believe that this study has multiple strengths. We believe that our analysis is the only study with an appropriate sample size to compare 90-day readmission rates between a 1-midnight and 2-midnight LOS. Additionally, each hospital in the consortium has dedicated trained data abstractors, with annual data audits performed by MARCQI nurse auditors, to ensure high-quality data. This is an advantage over the sole utilization of billing/ coding data, which often have inaccuracies⁵⁴. Another strength of this study is that MARCQI mitigates the risk of missing readmissions by linking cases to the Michigan Inpatient Database, thereby capturing readmissions to any Michigan hospital even if it is not where the index operation was performed. Singleinstitution studies do not have this feature. Lastly, the data set includes all payers, encompassing all socioeconomic classes, and likely is representative of the true population.

Our analysis of 46,709 primary TKAs showed no difference in 90-day readmission risks between patients with a 1midnight LOS and those with a 2-midnight LOS. Male sex, single marital status, an age of \geq 80 years, type-I diabetes, previous smoking, narcotic use prior to surgery, and an ASA class of III or IV increased the odds of 90-day readmission. In contrast, an age of \geq 50 and <65 years, a higher preoperative Hb level, and alcohol use were found to decrease the odds of readmission. Our novel findings of the influence of single marital status, previous smoking, a history of DVT/PE, alcohol use, and an age of 50 to 65 years add to the current literature. Additional study is needed to address the generalizability of these results, and investigators may also consider evaluating functional and/or patient-reported outcomes in the setting of decreasing LOS.

Appendix

Tables showing additional descriptive statistics for the included and excluded patients as well as the model-1 and 2 results are available with the online version of this article as a data supplement at jbjs.org (http://links.lww.com/JBJS/E913).

P.M. Charpentier, MD^{1,2} A.K. Srivastava, MD^{2,3}

1. Memtsoudis SG, Della Valle AG, Besculides MC, Gaber L, Laskin R. Trends in demographics, comorbidity profiles, in-hospital complications and mortality associated with primary knee arthroplasty. J Arthroplasty. 2009 Jun;24(4):518-27. Epub 2008 Apr 15.

2. El Bitar YF, Illingworth KD, Scaife SL, Horberg JV, Saleh KJ. Hospital length of stay following primary total knee arthroplasty: data from the Nationwide Inpatient Sample Database. J Arthroplasty. 2015 Oct;30(10):1710-5. Epub 2015 May 8.

3. Sher A, Keswani A, Yao DH, Anderson M, Koenig K, Moucha CS. Predictors of same-day discharge in primary total joint arthroplasty patients and risk factors for post-discharge complications. J Arthroplasty. 2017 Sep;32(9S):S150: 156.e1. Epub 2016 Dec 22.

4. Berger RA, Kusuma SK, Sanders SA, Thill ES, Sporer SM. The feasibility and perioperative complications of outpatient knee arthroplasty. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2009 Jun;467(6):1443-9. Epub 2009 Feb 24.

5. Hoffmann JD, Kusnezov NA, Dunn JC, Zarkadis NJ, Goodman GP, Berger RA. The shift to same-day outpatient joint arthroplasty: a systematic review. J Arthroplasty. 2018 Apr;33(4):1265-74. Epub 2017 Nov 22.

6. Teeny SM, York SC, Benson C, Perdue ST. Does shortened length of hospital stay affect total knee arthroplasty rehabilitation outcomes? J Arthroplasty. 2005 Oct; 20(7)(Suppl 3):39-45.

7. Peters CL, Shirley B, Erickson J. The effect of a new multimodal perioperative anesthetic regimen on postoperative pain, side effects, rehabilitation, and length of hospital stay after total joint arthroplasty. J Arthroplasty. 2006 Sep;21(6)(Suppl 2): 132-8.

8. Jones S, Alnaib M, Kokkinakis M, Wilkinson M, St Clair Gibson A, Kader D. Preoperative patient education reduces length of stay after knee joint arthroplasty. Ann R Coll Surg Engl. 2011 Jan;93(1):71-5.

9. Bozic KJ, Wagie A, Naessens JM, Berry DJ, Rubash HE. Predictors of discharge to an inpatient extended care facility after total hip or knee arthroplasty. J Arthroplasty. 2006 Sep;21(6)(Suppl 2):151-6.

10. Winemaker M, Petruccelli D, Kabali C, de Beer J. Not all total joint replacement patients are created equal: preoperative factors and length of stay in hospital. Can J Surg. 2015 Jun;58(3):160-6.

11. Olthof M, Stevens M, Bulstra SK, van den Akker-Scheek I. The association between comorbidity and length of hospital stay and costs in total hip arthroplasty patients: a systematic review. J Arthroplasty. 2014 May;29(5):1009-14. Epub 2013 Oct 16.

12. Bini SA, Inacio MCS, Cafri G. Two-day length of stay is not inferior to 3 days in total knee arthroplasty with regards to 30-day readmissions. J Arthroplasty. 2015 May;30(5):733-8. Epub 2014 Dec 5.

13. Sibia US, Waite KA, Callanan MA, Park AE, King PJ, MacDonald JH. Do shorter lengths of stay increase readmissions after total joint replacements? Arthroplast Today. 2016 Jun 21;3(1):51-5.

14. Hughes RE, Hallstrom BR, Zheng H, Kabara J, Cowen M, Igrisan R, Richmond A. Michigan Arthroplasty Registry Collaborative Quality Initiative (MARCQI) report: 2012-2016. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan; 2017.

H. Zheng, PhD⁴

J.D. Ostrander, MD^{2,3} R.E. Hughes, PhD⁴

¹Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, Virginia

²Department of Orthopedic Surgery, McLaren Flint Hospital, Flint, Michigan

³OrthoMichigan, Flint, Michigan

⁴Department of Orthopedic Surgery, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan

E-mail address for P.M. Charpentier: paul.charpentier@vcuhealth.org

ORCID iD for P.M. Charpentier: <u>0000-0003-4107-5589</u> ORCID iD for A.K. Srivastava: <u>0000-0002-5090-509X</u> ORCID iD for H. Zheng: <u>0000-0002-1241-7044</u> ORCID iD for J.D. Ostrander: <u>0000-0001-7314-9701</u> ORCID iD for R.E. Hughes: <u>0000-0003-1668-3638</u>

References

15. Hughes RE, Hallstrom BR, Cowen ME, Igrisan RM, Singal BM, Share DA. Michigan Arthroplasty Registry Collaborative Quality Initiative (MARCQI) as a model for regional registries in the United States. Orthop Res Rev. 2015;7:47-56.

16. Brookhart MA, Wyss R, Layton JB, Stürmer T. Propensity score methods for confounding control in nonexperimental research. Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes. 2013 Sep 1;6(5):604-11. Epub 2013 Sep 10.

 Stürmer T, Wyss R, Glynn RJ, Brookhart MA. Propensity scores for confounder adjustment when assessing the effects of medical interventions using nonexperimental study designs. J Intern Med. 2014 Jun;275(6):570-80. Epub 2014 Feb 13.
 Normand ST, Landrum MB, Guadagnoli E, Ayanian JZ, Ryan TJ, Cleary PD, McNeil BJ. Validating recommendations for coronary angiography following acute myocardial infarction in the elderly: a matched analysis using propensity scores. J Clin Epidemiol. 2001 Apr:54(4):387-98.

 Austin PC. Statistical criteria for selecting the optimal number of untreated subjects matched to each treated subject when using many-to-one matching on the propensity score. Am J Epidemiol. 2010 Nov 1;172(9):1092-7. Epub 2010 Aug 28.
 Austin PC. Optimal caliper widths for propensity-score matching when estimating differences in means and differences in proportions in observational studies. Pharm Stat. 2011 Mar-Apr:10/2):150-61.

21. Wong GY, Mason WM. The hierarchical logistic regression model for multilevel analysis. J Amer Stats Assn. 1985;80(391):513-24.

22. Dehejia RH, Wahba S. Causal effects in nonexperimental studies: reevaluating

the evaluation of training programs. J Am Stat Assoc. 1999;94(448):1053-62. **23.** Dehejia RH, Wahba S. Propensity score-matching methods for nonexperimental causal studies. Rev Econ Stat. 2002;84(1):151-61.

 Otero JE, Gholson JJ, Pugely AJ, Gao Y, Bedard NA, Callaghan JJ. Length of hospitalization after joint arthroplasty: does early discharge affect complications and readmission rates? J Arthroplasty. 2016 Dec;31(12):2714-25. Epub 2016 Aug 9.
 Sahota S, Lovecchio F, Harold RE, Beal MD, Manning DW. The effect of smoking on thirty-day postoperative complications after total joint arthroplasty: a propensity score-matched analysis. J Arthroplasty. 2018 Jan;33(1):30-5. Epub 2017 Aug 1.

26. Schairer WW, Vail TP, Bozic KJ. What are the rates and causes of hospital readmission after total knee arthroplasty? Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2014 Jan;472(1): 181-7.

27. Duchman KR, Gao Y, Pugely AJ, Martin CT, Callaghan JJ. Differences in shortterm complications between unicompartmental and total knee arthroplasty: a propensity score matched analysis. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2014 Aug 20;96(16): 1387-94.

28. Martin CT, Pugely AJ, Gao Y, Mendoza-Lattes S. Thirty-day morbidity after singlelevel anterior cervical discectomy and fusion: identification of risk factors and emphasis on the safety of outpatient procedures. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2014 Aug 6; 96(15):1288-94.

29. Schairer WW, Lane JM, Halsey DA, Iorio R, Padgett DE, McLawhorn AS. The Frank Stinchfield Award : total hip arthroplasty for femoral neck fracture is not a typical DRG 470: a propensity-matched cohort study. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2017 Feb;475(2):353-60.

The Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery · JBJS.org Volume 100-A · Number 20 · October 17, 2018

30. Sutton JC 3rd, Antoniou J, Epure LM, Huk OL, Zukor DJ, Bergeron SG. Hospital discharge within 2 days following total hip or knee arthroplasty does not increase major-complication and readmission rates. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2016 Sep 7; 98(17):1419-28.

31. Osborne JW. Advantages of hierarchical linear modeling. Pract Assess, Res Eval. 2000;7(1).

32. Hedeker D, Gibbons RD. A random-effects ordinal regression model for multilevel analysis. Biometrics. 1994 Dec;50(4):933-44.

33. Saucedo JM, Marecek GS, Wanke TR, Lee J, Stulberg SD, Puri L. Understanding readmission after primary total hip and knee arthroplasty: who's at risk? J Arthroplasty. 2014 Feb;29(2):256-60. Epub 2013 Aug 17.

34. Chen BP, Dobransky J, Poitras S, Forster A, Beaulé PE. Impact of definition and timeframe on capturing surgery-related readmissions after primary joint arthroplasty. J Arthroplasty. 2017 Dec;32(12):3563-7. Epub 2017 Jul 5.

35. Cram P, Lu X, Kates SL, Singh JA, Li Y, Wolf BR. Total knee arthroplasty volume, utilization, and outcomes among Medicare beneficiaries, 1991-2010. JAMA. 2012 Sep 26;308(12):1227-36.

36. Pugely AJ, Callaghan JJ, Martin CT, Cram P, Gao Y. Incidence of and risk factors for 30-day readmission following elective primary total joint arthroplasty: analysis from the ACS-NSQIP. J Arthroplasty. 2013 Oct;28(9):1499-504. Epub 2013 Jul 26.

37. Basques BA, Bell JA, Sershon RA, Della Valle CJ. The influence of patient gender on morbidity following total hip or total knee arthroplasty. J Arthroplasty. 2018 Feb; 33(2):345-9. Epub 2017 Sep 19.

38. Sikora-Klak J, Zarling B, Bergum C, Flynn JC, Markel DC. The effect of comorbidities on discharge disposition and readmission for total joint arthroplasty patients. J Arthroplasty. 2017 May;32(5):1414-7. Epub 2016 Nov 29.

39. Oldmeadow LB, McBurney H, Robertson VJ. Predicting risk of extended inpatient rehabilitation after hip or knee arthroplasty. J Arthroplasty. 2003 Sep;18(6):775-9.
40. Baser O, Supina D, Sengupta N, Wang L, Kwong L. Clinical and cost outcomes of venous thromboembolism in Medicare patients undergoing total hip replacement or total knee replacement surgery. Curr Med Res Opin. 2011 Feb;27(2):423-9. Epub 2010 Dec 30.

41. Hasan O, Meltzer DO, Shaykevich SA, Bell CM, Kaboli PJ, Auerbach AD, Wetterneck TB, Arora VM, Zhang J, Schnipper JL. Hospital readmission in general medicine patients: a prediction model. J Gen Intern Med. 2010 Mar;25(3):211-9. Epub 2009 Dec 15.

42. Arbaje AI, Wolff JL, Yu Q, Powe NR, Anderson GF, Boult C. Postdischarge environmental and socioeconomic factors and the likelihood of early hospital readREADMISSION RATES FOR ONE VERSUS TWO-MIDNIGHT LENGTH OF Stay for Primary Total Knee Arthroplasty

mission among community-dwelling Medicare beneficiaries. Gerontologist. 2008 Aug; $48(4):\!495\!\cdot\!504.$

43. Zarling BJ, Sikora-Klak J, Bergum C, Markel DC. How do preoperative medications influence outcomes after total joint arthroplasty? J Arthroplasty. 2017 Sep; 32(9S):S259-62. Epub 2017 Apr 27.

44. Cancienne JM, Patel KJ, Browne JA, Werner BC. Narcotic use and total knee arthroplasty. J Arthroplasty. 2018 Jan;33(1):113-8. Epub 2017 Aug 17.

45. Jørgensen CC, Kehlet H; Lundbeck Foundation Centre for Fast-track Hip and Knee Replacement Collaborative Group. Outcomes in smokers and alcohol users after fast-track hip and knee arthroplasty. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand. 2013 May; 57(5):631-8. Epub 2013 Feb 20.

 Lovecchio F, Beal M, Kwasny M, Manning D. Do patients with insulin-dependent and noninsulin-dependent diabetes have different risks for complications after arthroplasty? Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2014 Nov;472(11):3570-5. Epub 2014 Aug 20.
 Dowsey MM, Liew D, Choong PFM. Economic burden of obesity in primary total

knee arthroplasty. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken). 2011 Oct;63(10):1375-81.
48. George J, Piuzzi NS, Ng M, Sodhi N, Khlopas AA, Mont MA. Association between body mass index and thirty-day complications after total knee arthroplasty. J Arthroplasty. 2018 Mar;33(3):865-71.

49. Husted H, Jørgensen CC, Gromov K, Kehlet H; Lundbeck Foundation Center for Fast-track Hip and Knee Replacement Collaborative Group. Does BMI influence hospital stay and morbidity after fast-track hip and knee arthroplasty? Acta Orthop. 2016 Oct;87(5):466-72. Epub 2016 Jun 27.

50. Higuera CA, Elsharkawy K, Klika AK, Brocone M, Barsoum WK. 2010 Mid-America Orthopaedic Association Physician in Training Award: predictors of early adverse outcomes after knee and hip arthroplasty in geriatric patients. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2011 May;469(5):1391-400. Epub 2011 Feb 23.

51. Bini SA, Fithian DC, Paxton LW, Khatod MX, Inacio MC, Namba RS. Does discharge disposition after primary total joint arthroplasty affect readmission rates? J Arthroplasty. 2010 Jan;25(1):114-7. Epub 2009 Jan 15.

52. Zmistowski B, Restrepo C, Hess J, Adibi D, Cangoz S, Parvizi J. Unplanned readmission after total joint arthroplasty: rates, reasons, and risk factors. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2013 Oct 16;95(20):1869-76.

53. Ricciardi BF, Oi KK, Daines SB, Lee YY, Joseph AD, Westrich GH. Patient and perioperative variables affecting 30-day readmission for surgical complications after hip and knee arthroplasties: a matched cohort study. J Arthroplasty. 2017 Apr;32(4): 1074-9. Epub 2016 Oct 21.

54. Holt G, Feurer ID, Schwartz HS. An analysis of concordance among hospital databases and physician records. Ann Surg Oncol. **1998** Sep;5(6):553-6.